(Not to be destroyed)

G. R. S. IX-5]

SJA 64-10-12 - SJA 42-18-10

Previous references.

1913 Al 142 ✓

B. Aug 1914 **1919.**

Manjarabad Polegar family Pensions

File Old Record No 28 (SJA)

Later references.

SJA 189-20-23,749.

SJA 54-22 Al-3

SJA. 158-30-23749

— Def. 54/33.

SJA 95-33 (3-5)

File No. 59-1913

Serial Nos. 1-2-4-6 (47)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

SA Def 212/35

Serial Nos. 1-2-4-6 (47)

Part B for April 1920

SUBJECT.

Manjarabad Polegar Family Pensions.

(Prayer of Mr Chika Krishnappa Naik praying for the restoration of Rs 39-1-6 drawn by his aunt Bhaskaramma).

6) H 1847 dated 24-4-1920 to the Comptroller Govt—
Sanctions the continuance of the vacant pension
of Rs 39-1-6 to Chik Krishnappa Naik with
effect from 15-3-1918.

BJR 27/5/1920

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 28-1-19

37-9-1
39-1-7
37-9-7
12-11-3

(1

G. R. S. III—1] 1919.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(SM)

NOTES.

File No. 59/19

FILE HEADING.

Manjarabad Pallegar Family Pension

From

Mr Chicka Krishnappa Naik,

No. Petn Dated 10.9.19 Received 12.9.19

Diary No. 931	Enclos. 1 Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays that the Pallegar pension of Rs 39-1-6 drawn by her late aunt - Bhaskaramma may be continued to him, as he is sole heir to the pension.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

S For a brief history of the ~~family of~~ Manjarabad Family please see Memo of 20.5.1888.

B 2) In G.O. No. C 488 of 20.5.1888 ~~it~~ while sanctioning the pension to widow - Bhaskaramma it-

G. R S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | SR File No. 59 of 1919.

Serial No.

it is stated that the pension of Rameswami naik will be continued to his widow — Bhaskaramma as a life pension, under a provisional arrangement which will be subject to revision etc. as in para 2 of the order it is stated that the other claimant Krishneswami naik has no right to the vacant pension (Rameswami's) which has been & must continue to be enjoyed in the elder branch of the family.

3) Venkadri naik I



4) The petitioner states that the Khazana Bakshi has rejected his claim on the ground that the pension granted to Bhaskaramma was a life grant (vide Endt. No 1018/15.8.19)

5) Further he states that the pension granted to Bhaskaramma was only a provisional arrangement as per G.O. of 1888 & as he is the reversioner according to Hindu Law it should revert to him.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S.A File No. 89 of 1919.

Serial No.

O

6) In support of his claim the petitioner quotes the order of 1880 passed by Sir James Gordon regarding the question of lapses of pensions ~~held by females~~ according to which the ~~pension held by females will apply~~ lapses with only to those cases in which there are no issue or heirs.

Z

7) After the rendition these Pallyar pensions were treated with liberal consideration & they are very rarely allowed to lapse & ~~made~~ were hereditary.

C

A

8) The petitioner on the death of his uncle Ramaswami with in 1888 had petitioned for the continuance of the pension to his children & on that the claim of the other petitioner — Krishnaswami Naik of the 1st branch was disallowed & it was expressly ordered in G.O. of 1888 "that the pension must be continued to be enjoyed in the 2nd branch of the family" to which the petitioner belongs.

B

V

9) Reference is invited to notes & orders in S.A 38-13-2 wherein the pension held by a female (Honnamma Nayatti) who died issueless, was reverted to her husband brother's son.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Sht File No. 59 of 1919

Serial No.	
	10) Add other reference files containing the discussions & orders on the general principles re-Succession & of these Pallayar pensions are put up in another case of Chitaleng family claim (Linganjah).
	11) Submitted for orders.
	12) When is the Complr's report? Please forward thereto today and ask for it.
	13) Drafts submitted.
	14) Issue today
	2 To Comptroller, X 302/4 dt 19.XI.19 Forward the petition for favour of enquiry & report.

STA 64-10-12 (Anegundi Jaigir) - Chowdaimma
STA 42-11-10 (Anekal Allee-Jamaun)

Underlying the submission of this case needs separate explanation
18 14/11

Explained in person to Secy & subs 14/11

Sirs

P.T.O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

931
V87

(5

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S&R File No. 59 of 1919.

Serial No.	
4	From Campbell, No 5/93 dt 25-2-20 Submits his report of enquiry on the petition of Chick Krishnappa Naik for the continuance of the pension drawn by her aunt – Bhaskaramma.
	15) Reference is invited to the previous office notes dt 12-XI-19.
	16) Recently Govt have treated such pensions held by females who die without any issues – with liberal consideration, by continuing them to their surviving heirs – Such as,
C	Hanumamma nayakti & Chowdamma of Anegundi
F & V A	family – (S&R 38-13-2 & S&R. 62-18-10)
	17) As Chick Krishnappa is the last surviving member & heir of the deceased – Bhaskaramma, the pension has to revert to him –
vide Genealogical Table Page 2 ante notes.	Submitted for orders with references.
Wel 12/3	18) In accordance with the precedents quoted above – (A).
T&V 13/3	Flg. 7 & V. the pension need not lapse Govt. –
	The pension ~~expended~~ allotted to the 2nd branch of the family ~~being expended~~ divided in equal shares between the petitioner's father and ~~step brother~~, uncle, and after

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S & A File No. 59 of 1919.

Serial No.

The latter's death his share was continued to his Widow Mrs Karaunna. She has made no adoption —

19) The petitioner is enjoying the other half of the pension granted to the 2nd branch of the family — And he is the nearest legal heir the deceased husband.

20) The prayer of the petitioner that for the continuance of the vacant half of the pension of the 2nd branch of the family in his favour is tenable and may be sanctioned.

Desay) 21) The duty devolves upon the Council

22) yes 15/8/20

26666/1913 (6.3.20

Chetty) 23) Papier. 15/8/3

4/4

6/4

3031/1914 Desay) 24) 15/6/4/20

Ommb 7.4.20

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on APR 8 1920
Reg. No. 6899

Dewan) 25) Agree 15/8/4

cccs

13-4-20

26) Draft 15/8/4

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S&A File No. 59 of 1919.

Serial No.	
	27) Draft- Submitted for orders. Wd 19/4. 28) Endorsement will be put up after issue of order. 20/4 29) Issue. Keep charge in file 20/4/20
6	To the Comptroller to Government Ft 7847 dated 24-4-1920. Sanctions the continuance of the vacant pension of Rs 34-1-6 to Srik Krishnappa Naik of the 2nd Branch of the family of Venkadri Naik with effect from 15-3-1918. 30) Draft- Endorsement to the petn is put up. P&A 26-4-1920 31) Issued 26/4 26/4
7	Ft 8000/7 dated 30-4-1920 to Mr. Srikrishnappa Naik. Forwards him with a copy G.O. Ft 7847 S&A&R-96 d 24-4-1920.

B 26/5/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-3-18—S.

1923

Sl # 59-19-1

To

Rajasevadhurina, Sirdar M. Kantaraj Urs Esquire,
B.A., C.S.I.,
Dewan of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Through

The Financial Secretary to H.H. the Maharaja
of Mysore, BANGALORE.

The humble memorialist most respectfully states as follows:

1. The original Manjarabad Palyam known as "Aigur" was conferred on our ancestor, Sarjappa Naik, by the Anegundi Raja and embraced a large tract of country.

The country was invaded by Hyder Ali who annexed the whole of it, excepting Manjarabad, which was left to the Palyegar on condition of his paying a tribute of Rs. 20,000/- annually. During the Mysore War Tippu Sultan annexed the Palyam for not helping him.

2. One Venkatadri Naik (1) was a Palyegar in Manjarabad, Hassan District, and he was enjoying a hereditary political pension of Rs.52-1-11 per month under the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore (Vide Geneological Table, herewith submitted)

3. Vencatadri Naik (2) and Ramaswamy Naik were the sons of the said Vencatadri Naik (1).

4. The said Vencatadri Naik (2) was, as representing the ~~second~~ Senior branch of his father's family, enjoying the said pension, subject to his contributing for the expenses of the family of Ramaswami Naik above named.

5. The said Vencatadri Naik (2) died in the year 1857 or thereabouts leaving a minor son by name Chikka Krishnappa Naik, the present petitioner.

6. Chikka Krishnappa Naik, the present petitioner, ~~on the death of his father should~~ on the death of his father, as representing the ~~second~~ Senior branch of the family, ~~should~~ have been registered as the holder

of the pension. But the stipend was then registered in the name of the petitioner's uncle, Ramaswami Naik, as the petitioner was then a minor.

7. On the memorialist's attaining majority, the pension was divided and registered equally between the petitioner and his uncle at the request of the latter as he thought it more dignified to be himself a barawardar, than to be a dependent on the Sard. ~~maddar~~ the petitioner in question

8. The entire pension has since been enhanced by Government to Rs.78-2-10.

9. The said Ramaswami Naik, petitioner's uncle, died issueless on 15-3-1888 leaving behind him a widow by name Bhaskaramma.

10. On the death of the petitioner's uncle, the above baraward which was in his name should have been tranferred to the petitioner's name, subject to his contributing for the ~~maintaining~~ maintenance of the said Bhaskaramma, but, at the latter's request and out of respect for her feelings, the petitioner allowed the baraward to be transferred to her name from that of her husband.

11. The said Bhaskaramma died on 14-3-1918. The family of the said Ramaswamy Naik being extinct, the petitioner is now the sole and absolute claimant to the said pension.

12. On 28-3-1918, the petitioner applied to the Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury, Bangalore, for payment to him of the entire pension above named, but that Officer gave the accompanying endorsement to the petitioner.

13. The learned Treasury Officer mentioned above was wrong in thinking that on the death of Bhaskaramma, the pension in question had lapsed to Government.

14. As per G.O. No. 1678, dated 29-10-1887, the Palyegar's pensionS have been made hereditary.

15. Kind reference may also be made to G.O. No. C.488 dated 26-5-1888; the pension granted to Bhaskaramma was only a provisional arrangement and it should revert to the memorialist who is the rightful heir to the pension according to Hindu Law of Inheritance.

16. Kind reference may also be made to the Order passed

by Sir James Gordon, Chief Commissioner, in 1880 regarding the question of lapses of pensions enjoyed by females.

17. The memorialist humbly ~~prays~~ prays, therefore, that early orders may graciously be passed directing the whole of the said pension to be paid in future to the memorialist and his successors in title.

18. The memorialist most respectfully prays further that his vakil may kindly be heard in the matter before final orders are passed on this humble memorial.

10.9.1919

MEMORIALIST.

Address:

Chikka Krishnappa Naik,
Manjarabad Palyegar,
3/21, Kottige Veerabhadraiya's Lane,
Akkipet, BANGALORE CITY

GENEOLOGICAL TABLE.

Manjarabad Palyegar's Family.

Venkatadri Naik
~~Narasimha~~ Naik
I

Vencatadri Naik (1) | Doddd Krishnappa Naik

Ist Branch (1st wife)
I
~~Gopal~~ Krishnappa Naik
(7-1-102-6-4)
Krishnasami Naik (died
Brother
Gopalsami naik
(152-6-6)

2nd Branch (2nd wife)
I
Venkatadri Naik (2) (52-1-11) | Ramaswami Naik (26-1-0)
I
Chikka Krishnappa Naik (26-0-11) 39-1-4 | Wife Bhaskaramma (39-1-6) died issueless
(Petitioner)

Vacant pension

10-9-1919

No 101

[illegible]

[illegible] Life Pension [illegible]

[illegible]

(Sd) M Sreenivasamoorty
officer in charge

15/8/19

D931 2 dl 15

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

Financial
~~GEN. & REV.~~ SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No H 3044/S&A 59-19

The accompanying Petition Registered
No D931 dated 10.9.19
received at the Financial ~~General and Revenue~~
Secretariat from M. R. Ry Chicka
Krishnappa Naik of the
Manjarabad Palleyar Family
praying for the continuance
of the Palleyar Pension
Grant by late Bhaska-
ramma,

is forwarded, together with its enclosures,
to the Comptroller

for favour
of enquiry & report.

By Order,

Secretary.

BANGALORE,
19 Nov 1919.

14/11

P. T. O.

P.T.O.

W D 882—GPB—1,000—10 11-15

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ _____ ರಾಷ್ಟ್ರೀಯ

_____ ಹೋಬಳಿ

_____ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

_____ ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಮುಕುಂ.

"

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

ವಿಷಯವಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಸುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ _____

ಮರ್ಕ್ಕಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು _____ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

_____

_____ ರವಕ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

Secretary

Endorsement No. R 3045

19

To

1.

Sri. R. Rey.

Chikka Krishnappa Naik,

Manjanabai Pallegar Pensioner

3/21. Kotige Veeranna Shastry's

Lane, Akkipet, Bangalore

with reference to his

letter dt 10.9.49 praying for

the continuance of the Pallegar

Pension drawn by late Bhad-

ravamma, the petitioner

is informed that his letter

has been forwarded to the

Comptr for enquiry &

report. He should

& under Consider

R.O.

15/10/49 for Secy.

14/11.

15/11

Please address all future communications to the Comptroller to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore. In reply to this Comm. please refer to No. 8193 Genl. S.H.Try. 3 —

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, the 23rd January 1920.

26 FEB 1920

DIARY NO. 2787

FROM

T. Satyaraj Chetty Esq., B.A.,
~~M. N. KRISHNA RAO, ESQ., B.A.,~~
Offg. Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

M. N. Krishna Rao, ESQ., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Financial *Department.*

SIR,

With reference to your Official Memorandum No.Fl.3044/S&A. 59-19 of the 19th November last forwarding for enquiry and report the petition of Mr. Chikkakrishnappa Naik of the Manjarabad Pallegar family praying for the continuance of the Pallegar stipend drawn by the late Bhaskaramma, I have the honor to state as follows:-

(1) In Government Order No.C.488 of the 26th May 1888, the stipend of Rs.26-1-0 (subsequently raised to Rs.39-1-6 in the General revision) enjoyed by Ramaswami Naik was, after his death, granted to his widow Bhaskaramma for life. Bhaskaramma died issueless on the 14th March 1918 - Vide this office letter No.8 of the 23rd March 1918.

(2) Almost all the surviving members of the family claim this stipend as noted below:-

(a) Mr. Gopalkrishnasami Naik as per petitions of March 1918 and February 1919.

(b) Mr. M. Narasimha Naik and Mr. M. Venkataramanasami Naik as per petition of March 1919.

(c) ,, Chikkakrishnappa Naik.

(3) The Government Order quoted above in provisionally granting the

the stipend to the late Bhakskaramma for life subject to approval by Government of the adoption for which she had asked permission at the time enjoined that the stipend should continue to be enjoyed by the second branch of the family. The first three applicants above-named being the grand-sons of Krishnappa Naik and the members of the first branch of the family have no right and title to the stipend in question. The required endorsements in reply have already been issued to them.

(4) The only other claimant to the stipend whose case deserves consideration is Mr. Chikka Krishnappa Naik who is the nephew of the deceased pensioner's husband Ramaswami Naik and thus belongs to the second branch of the family.

(5). The authorities quoted by the party in support of his claim are (1) Government Order No.1678 dated 29th October 1887 and (2) The Hindu Law of Inheritance, neither of which have any direct bearing on the claim. The Government order referred to dealt with the general question of the validity of adoption for the purpose of succession to the Palegar stipend. As regards the applicability or otherwise of the Hindu Law to Palegar stipends, it is seen from the records available that there does not appear to be any case in which a life pension granted to an issueless lady was continued to a relative. There is, besides, an endorsement given in reply in February 1877 to Komora Krishnappa Naik of the same family in connection with his claim to stipend originally drawn by Seshamma in these terms: "Under the rules in force, the stipend enjoyed by Seshamma lapsed to the State as the stipend granted to the female members to their lives only and cannot be renewed."

On these considerations, an endorsement was given to Mr. Chikka Krishnappa Naik that the stipend of Bhaskaramma cannot be continued to him.

(6) Since the stipend was granted to Bhaskramma for life and provision was made for the continuance of the stipend to the second branch of the family apparently in the expectation that some boy in that branch would be adopted and as the adoption was

not

not made, the question arises whether the claim of Chikka Krishnappa Naik can be entertained. Whether it was the intention of Government who have recognised in para 2 of the Government Order of 26th May 1888 ~~1918~~ the right to the continuance of the pension in the second branch of the family to permit its being continued to the nearest of ~~this or~~ kin in that branch in the event of no adoption being made, or that it should in that case lapse to Government, is not known. Whether, in these circumstances, the pension granted to Baskaramma may be continued to Chikka Krishnappa Naik who is her nearest relation in the second branch is a point for the decision of Government. In this connection, I would invite kind reference to Government Order referred to above No.1678 dated 29th October 1887 in which the Government in disposing of the claim to stipend drawn by one Gangamma, widow of Doddakrishnappa Naik's son of the same family, observed in respect of the claim preferred by the same petitioner Mr. Chikka Krishnappa Naik and his uncle Ramaswami Naik that they had no claim to it as the adoption of a boy by Gangamma had been recognized, thereby implying that, but for her adoption, their claim would have been valid.

The petition received with the above is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

T. Satyanarayana

Comptroller.

SAM.

D/4
G.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 2287

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191

Date of issue 24/6/20

Signed by Wm. B. S. Iyengar

Section 'STA'

File No. 59 J 19

Serial No. 6

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

G.O. No C.488 & 26.5.1888 sanctioning a life pension of Rs 26-1-0 p.m. to Bhaskaramma widow of late Ramaswami Naik of Marijavabad Palleyar family under a provisional arrangement until further orders on the application of the

3) Correspondence ending with letter No S/93 & 23.1.20 from the Comptroller reporting on the claim to the above pension of Chicka Krishnappa Naik the nephew of the deceased pensioner's husband

Consideration

Order Thereon—No

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

ii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Order No

Govt. are pleased to
sanction the continuance of
the Vacant pension of Rs 39-1-6
~~p.m.~~ to Chicka Krishnappa
Naik with effect from 15th
March 1918.

15/3/18
for H Lovely

To Comptroller to Govt.

1441
19/4

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1744—GPB—30,000—17-3-19

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

Government Order No. C.488 dated 26th May 1888 sanctioning a life pension of Rs. 26-1-0 per mensem to Bhaskaramma, widow of late Ramaswami Naik of Manjarabad Pallegar Family under a provisional arrangement subject to revision on the completion of the adoption intended to be taken by her and observing that the pensio granted to Ramaswamy Naik must continue to be enjoyed in the 2nd branch of the family of Venkatadri Naick.

2. Petition dated 10th September 1919 from Chikkakrishnappa Naik praying for the continuance of the pension ~~xxxx~~ fallen vacant by the death of Bhaskaramma, leaving no heirs on 14th March 1918.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No. S/93 dated 23rd January 1920 from the Comptroller reporting on the claims to the above pension and stating that among the marginally noted survivingmembers of the family the first two applicants have no right and title to the stipend, and that it is for orders if in the circumstances reported, the vacant pension raised to Rs.39-1-6 in general revision should be continued to Chikkakrishnappa Naik the nephew of the deceased pensioner's husband, the ~~nearest~~ legal heir in the 2nd branch of the family or the pension should lapse to Government.

1. Mr. Gopalakrishnaswami Naik, as per petitions of March 1918 and February ~~xxxxxx~~ 1919.
2. " M. Narasimha Naik and Mr. M. Venkataramanasami Naik as per petition of March 1919.
3. " Chikkakrishnappa Naik.

Order No. ~~Fe 7847~~ Fe 7847 58-59-14-6

Dated, Bangalore the 24 April 1920.

Government are pleased to sanction the continuance of the

vacant pension of Rs.39-1-6 to Chikkakrishnappa Naik of the 2nd branch of the family of Venkatadri Naick with effect from 15th March 1918.

24/4/20

For Financial Secretary to Government.

(Fair Draft)

To

The Comptroller to Government.

TSR.3.

V-

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section S+B ~~G+F~~

File No. 59-19

Serial No. 7

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

( )

, the - *191*

No. X 8007

& 30 April

Date of issue 30 April

To

Signed by W Cunningham

Chikkakrishnappa Naik

Pallepa. Manjarabad

family

~~In reply to his petn~~ with reference

& C. G. 19. re Chikka

Krishnappa Naik of late

2nd branch of late

~~May~~ Manjarabad family

~~giving particulars that~~ his

pension Rs. 39-1-6

~~falls vacant on late~~

~~death of his Grandfather~~

Diary &

over.

furnished with
acct & p.o. No. X 7847
SOA 59-19-6.
& 24-4-920 family
the continuance

Blackammas. q—
sanctions to be contained
to him with effect
from the 15th. March 1918—
ci Eyn No 7849-9 A Sy-Pg. 6
y 24. 6. 20. (Copy ci
sent for information)

R. O.

Intels

Fr H. Secretary.

TM

Dlg/4

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 86—GPB—60,000—15-11-15